

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/826,704	HAMALAINEN ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>		
Christina Russell	2837		

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Christina Russell.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Retter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 November 2005

**Time:** 8:30 am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**

9, 11, 13, 14 and 15

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Expanding out abbreviations used in the claims.

Discussion about Figure 3 and whether to omit, add a description about the figure in the specification or relabel a description in the specification to match Figure 3. It was determined that a brief paragraph would be added to connect Figure 3 and Figure 4, since Figure 4 relies upon the information provided in Figure 3.